

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,489	09/864,489 05/24/2001		Dietbert Schoenfelder	10191/1831	10191/1831 2983	
26646	7590	08/27/2003				
KENYON		ON	EXAMINER			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				MILLER, CARL STUART		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3747	u	
				DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	l .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/864,489	SCHOENFELDER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Carl S. Miller	3747				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 4\⊠	Pagagonica to communication(a) filed on 11	h. 2002					
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $11 J$						
,		s action is non-final.	accounting on to the marite in				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
48	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ C	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 C	claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) <u></u>	All b) Some * c) None of:						
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/864,489

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Creighton in view of Kikuchi.

Creighton applies as noted in the previous office action.

Kikuchi <u>clearly</u> teaches using a <u>variable</u> pilot period which is modified according to engine parameters inputs. This is the norm in the art.

It would have been obvious to use a variable pilot injection period in Creighton while keeping the time between injections constant because Kikuchi taught that combustion could be optimized using engine variables to determine the duration of pilot injection.

Claim 5 – 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Creighton and Kikuchi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sekiguchi.

Sekiguchi applies as noted in the last office action and would have been obvious to apply to Creighton for the reasons noted in that action.

Applicant's arguments filed March 14, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,489

Art Unit: 3747

In particular, the applicant argues that Creighton teaches a set period of time for the pilot injection duration. The passage used to support this position is found at the top of column 6, lines 43-63. Applicant's interpretation of this passage is arguably incorrect since the fixed delay circuit noted appears to refer to a fixed delay between the trigger pulse signal and the application of that signal to the trigger itself. Furthermore, It does not really make sense to assume that the pilot pulse is fixed since the circuit (94) is a pilot pulse width control circuit, which, in itself, implies that the pilot pulse varies.

Despite these facts, however, the examiner has now applied Kikuchi which clearly teaches that it is known to vary the pilot pulse width to optimize combustion and this would have suggested to one of orchlinary skill in the art that the circuit (94) of Creighton should have been used as a variable pulse width control.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/864,489

Art Unit: 3747

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at telephone number //03-308-2653.

Carl S. Miller Primary Examiner